Hedaya Ragab<sup>1</sup>, Hala T.Tantawy<sup>1</sup>, Khalid M. El-Moselhy<sup>2</sup> and Waheed M. Emam<sup>1\*</sup>

 Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, An Shams University
 National Institute of Oceanography & Fisheries (NIOF), Suez
 \*Corresponding author E-mail: <u>waheed.emam@yahoo.com</u> waheedemam@sci.asu.edu.eg

Received: August 25, 2023; Accepted: September 22, 2023; Available online:October 28, 2023 Doi: 10.21608/AJBS.2023.323526

# ABSTRACT

The morphology, morphometry and population dynamics of the mussel *Brachidontes pharaonis* from the rocky shore of the western region of Gulf of Suez at National Institution of Oceanography and Fisheries, Suez were studied. The study area was described as well as the species composition. The external and interior shell morphology of *B. pharaonis* was described. The estimated constants A and B for the morphometric relationships were computed. The shell length of *B. pharaonis* ranged between 4.5 to 32.5 mm with mean 17.9 mm and the corresponding total body weight (TBWt) was 0.015 to 2.195 g with mean 1.498g. The length-frequency distribution of monthly samples from July 2019 2019 to May 2020 has been studied. The age and growth of 674 specimens of this species were estimated by using length-frequency distribution method. The von-Bertalanffy growth parameters (VBGP) were L $\infty$ =34.13 mm, K=1.0yr<sup>-1</sup>, t<sub>0</sub>= 0.0yr<sup>-1</sup>, W $\infty$ =3.11 g. The growth performance index ( $\varphi$ ) was 3.66 for length and 3.0 for weight. The length-weight relationship indicated negative allometric growth (b=2.5391).

*Keywords*: Mussel, *Brachidontes pharaonis*, morphometry, population dynamics, Suez Gulf, Red Sea.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The bivalve mussel *Brachidontes pharaonis* is widely distributed in the Western Pacific Ocean, Indian Ocean and the Red Sea (Morton, 1988). It is belonging to the family Mytilidae which is native to the Indian Ocean and Red Sea (Gilboa, 1976). It is also considered as invasive species which recently invaded more habitats, threatening indigenous bivalve species which may be, in the future, unable to compete with it in terms of reproductive effort and density (Sara *et al.*, 2008). It is migrated to the Mediterranean Sea through Suez Canal and from the Eastern African Coasts to Southern Africa (Barash and Danin, 1986).

Many studies had investigated the growth and shape of numerous species of mussels bivalves with respect to the impact of different environmental factors on them (Seed and Richardson, 1990; Kroeker et al., 2016; Bergstrom and Lindegarth, 2016). Morphometrics multivariate analysis of shell of bivalves was used to show their phenotypic responses (Caill-Milly et al., 2012). However, few studies were done on morphological pharaonis aspects В. (Arcidiacono and Di Geronimo 1976), physiological ecological and features (Safriel et al. 1980; Sara et al. 2000; Rilov

*et al.* 2002) and hermaphroditism (Abdel Razek *et al.*, 2017).

Few studies were done on the ecology, age structure, distribution, biometric relationships and hermaphroditism of B. pharaonis from the Red Sea, Gulf of Suez and Eastern Mediterranean Coast of Egypt (Mohamed, 1992, 1997; Radwan, 2014; El-Sayed et al., 2016; Abdel Razek et al., 2017). Studying morphological variation can represent a powerful indicator for understanding the adaptation of organisms and help to predict their responses in their rapidly changing environment (Telesca et al., 2018).

This work aimed to study the morphology, morphometry and population dynamics of the common fouling mussel *Brachidontes pharaonis* on the western region of the Gulf of Suez at the National Institution of Oceanography & Fisheries (NIOF).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## I- Study Area:

Samples of the mussel *B. pharaonsis* were collected from the western region of the intertidal rocky shore of the National Institution of Oceanography & Fisheries at Suez is located on the western region of Suez Canal (Fig. 1).



Fig.1. Site of collection of samples of *B. pharaonis* at the NIOF at Suez.

## **II-Collection of mussel samples:**

Collection of specimens of the mussel *B. pharaonsis* was performed at low tide by hand picking from an area of  $1m^2$  during the period June 2019 to May 2020 from the rocky shore at from NIOF, Suez coast.

## **III-Morphological Study:**

The external and internal morphology of samples of the mussel *B. pharaonis* were examined under stereoscopic dissecting binocular microscope. Camera lucida drawings were made for specimens. Also, photographic views were taken.

#### **IV-Morphometeric Study:**

In the laboratory, before processing the material, the surface of the mussel shells was cleaned of epibionts, sand and gravel. The number of individuals was counted and the length was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm with vernier calipers. The total body and shell weight of each individual were

taken to the nearest 0.01 g. The monthly mean density (N, ind  $m^{-2}$ ) was estimated for *Brachidontes pharaonis* populations.

The following shell measurements were taken for each specimen (Fig. 2):

- 1- Shell length (L) of the blue mussel was measured from the tip of anterior side of the shell to its posterior side.
- 2- Shell breadth (B) was measured from the dorsal side to the ventral side of the shell.
- 3- Shell width (W), the length between the right and left sides of the shell.
- 4- The total body weight (TBW) was measured using electronic scales with an accuracy of 0.01g.



# Fig.2. Shell measurements of the mussel *B. pharaonis* from the western part of the shore of NIOF at Suez

The following morphometric relationships were studied:

#### 1. Shell Length-Shell Breadth Relationship

# 2 Shall Longth Shall Width

## 2. Shell Length-Shell Width Relationship 3. Shell Width - Shell Breadth Relationship

The linear equation Y=A+BX was applied for these relationships. Where, A & B are constants whose values were estimated by the least square method.

4. Shell measurements indices: These include

Shell Width index= (Shell width/shell length)\*100 Shell Height index= (Shell

height/shell length)\*100

Shell width-Shell breadth index = (Shell Breadth/shell width)\*100

# 5. Shell Length-Total Body Weight Relationship:

The relationship between shell length and total body weight was computed using the formula of Le Cren (1951), TBWt = a  $ShL^{b}$  where TBWt is the total body weight in grams, L is the total length in mm, a & b are constants whose values were estimated by the least square method.

# 6. Shell Length-Shell Weight Relationship:

The relationship between shell length and shell weight was computed using the formula of Le Cren (1951), ShWt = a1 ShL<sup>b1</sup> where ShWt is the shell weight in grams, ShL is shell length in mm, a1 & b1 are constants whose values were estimated by the least square method.

# 7. Shell Length-Relative coefficient of condition (Kn) Relationship:

Kn was calculated from the equation: Kn= Observed Weight /Calculated weight.

# V. Age and Growth:

# A-Determination of age

In the present study the length frequency data of samples was grouped in 4 mm shell length class interval for model progression analysis (MPA) in FiSAT II program. The age was determined indirectly using the analysis of length frequency data (Pauly, 1980, 1984a,b) method (smooth growth curve method) which allows the conversion of length frequency data into age groups.

# **B-Theoretical growth in length**:

By fitting the von Bertalanffy growth model, using the Gulland and Holt (1959) method. Von Bertalanffy (1938) for theoretical growth in length can be written in the form:

$$\mathbf{L}_t = \mathbf{L}_{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\mathbf{k} \left( t - t \right)} \right)$$

Where:  $L_t$  = the length at age t ,  $L_{\infty}$  = the asymptotic length at  $t_{\infty}$ , K = growth coefficient and  $t_0$  = age at which the length is theoretically nil).

Von Bertalanffy growth Κ parameters ShL<sub>∞</sub> and were methods estimated using the of Walford (1946),Ford (1933)where the von Bertalanffv growth function (VBGF) was as follow:  $ShL_{(t+1)} = ShL_{\infty}(1-e^{-K}) + ShL_t(e^{-K})$ 

## Where;

 $ShL_t$ : is the size of the mussel at age t.

 $ShL_{(t+1)}$ : are lengths at age  $_{t+1}$  (1 = constant time interval and equal month).

 $e^{-K}$ : is the slope (b)

ShL $\infty$  (1 – e –K): is the intercept (a).

"b" The constants "a" and of this linear relationship between ShLt and ShL<sub>t+1</sub> were calculated by using the least square method and hence Κ and ShL∞ can be estimated as follows:

 $b=e^{-K}$  , where ~K= - Ln b  $a=ShL_{\infty}~(1-e^{-K}) \\ ShL_{\infty}=a~/~1\text{-}b$ 

The value of age at which shell length is nil  $(t_o)$  was estimated from the following rearranged formula of the von Bertalanffy equation:

 $t = t_o - 1/K \ Ln \ [(ShL_{\infty} \text{ - } ShL_t)/ \ ShL_{\infty}]$ 

Where; "ShL<sub>t</sub>": is the shell length at age t.

By plotting  $Ln[(ShL_{\infty}-ShL_t)/ShL_{\infty}]$ against (t), t<sub>o</sub> was estimated from the value of the intercept of the curve on y-axis (Moses, 1988).

# **C-Theoretical growth in weight**:

It can be written in the form:  $TBW_t = TBW_{\infty} (1 - e^{-k (t - t_0)})^n$ ,

Where:

 $TBW_t$  = the total body weight at age t ,

 $TW_{\infty}$  = the asymptotic total weight at  $t_{\infty}$ ,

K = growth coefficient

 $t_0$ =age at which the shell length is theoretically nil.,

n = the constant of length-weight equation.

**D-** Growth performance index  $(\varphi)$ :

It was estimated after Pauly and Munro (1984) as:

 $\acute{O} = \log 10(K) + 2 \log 10(ShL\infty)$ 

(For length)

 $\emptyset = \log 10(K) + 2/3 \log 10(TBW\infty)$ 

(For weight)

Growth of *B. pharaonis* in the studied site was performed using overall growth performance index (OGP), proportional to the maximal rate of size increase during the lifetime. It was calculated as :

 $OGP = log (ShL_{\infty} * k)$  (Pauly 1979).

# RESULTS

## **Taxonomy:**

Brachidontes pharaonis (Fischer P., 1870) belongs to phylum Mollusca, Class Bivalvia, Order Ostracoda, Family Mytilidae, Subfamily Mytilinae, Genus Branchiodontes; Species pharaonsis.

**Habitats:** *B. pharaonis* lives in shallow water, at sea level or just below, attached by its byssus to rocks and stones, mostly in clusters.

## Distribution

Worldwide: Indian Ocean, Red Sea and Mediterranean sea (like Port Said, Egypt by

Fuchs, 1878, Lebanon by Gruvel and Moazzo, 1931, Syria by Kinzelbach, 1985 and southern Turkey by Kinzelbach, 1985).

#### I- Morphology:

# **1. Morphology: external and internal shell structure**

Brachidontes pharaonis has an

externally dark brown-black; internally tinged violet-black and its shell consist of two valves. The front end of shell is pointed, and the back considerably rounded. The dorsal side is slightly convex, while the ventral one is slightly concave. Generally the shell has an elongated form (Fig. 3).



Fig.3. General view of the mussel *B. pharaonis* from (view from the exterior).

The size of the right and left shells are usually identical. The exoskeleton is dark and olive, dark brown, but more often black. On the back of the animal, shell valves are connected with a ligament. Shell consists of

ined by environmental conditions in the living area. The streamline shape and durability of shell provide mussel a good fitness to dwelling in coastal zone and in waters with raised hydrodynamics. It is also three layers: external (periostracum), internal (ostracum) and lower (gipostracum) - nacreous. The structure of the external skeleton is determ

promoted by unique ability of mussels to quickly and reliably attach to stones, seaweed, logs, to each other and other objects with a very strong byssus threads (Fig. 4a,b).



Fig. 4a. Camera Lucida drawing of the right shell valve of *B. pharaonis* showing its interior structures.

Hedaya Ragab et al.



Fig. 4b. Photomicrograph of the two shell valves of *B. pharaonis* showing the interior structures.

#### **III-Morphometry:**

# 1- Shell Length - Shell Breadth Relationship:

It was obvious from Figure (5) that shell bradth of *B. pharaonis* increases with the increase in shell length. The mean shell breadth ranged from 3-12 mm for specimens with shell length ranged from 4.5-32.5mm. However the rate of increment percentage in the shell breadth decreases with increases of shell length (60% to 39%). This indicates that population of *B. pharaonis* in the investigated site has elongated shells, with a small breadth.

The linear equation that represents this relationship is as follow:

Shell Breadth (mm) = 1.138+0.354Shell Length (mm) (R<sup>2</sup>=0.991)



Fig. 5. Shell Length- Shell Breadth Relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

# 2- Shell Length - Shell Width Relationship:

It was indicated from Figure (6) that shell width of *B. pharaonis* increases with the increase in shell length. The mean shell height ranged from 2.7-11.8 mm for individuals with shell length ranged from 531mm. However the rate of increment percentage in the shell width decreases with increases of shell length (54% to 38%). This indicates that population of *B. pharaonis* in the investigated site has elongated shells, with a small height.

The linear equation that represents this relationship is as follow:

Shell Width (mm)= 0.779+ 0.359 Shell Length (mm) (R<sup>2</sup>=0.986)



Fig. 6. Shell Length-Shell width Relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

#### 3- Shell Width- Shell Breadth Relationship:

The graphical representation of the relationship between shell Width and shell breadth of *B. pharaonis* is shown in Figure (7). It was obvious that Shell height increases with the increase in shell width. The mean shell height ranged from 2.7-11.8 mm for individuals with shell length ranged from 5-31mm. The linear equation that represents this relationship is as follow: Shell width (mm) = 0.341 + 1.011 Shell Breadth (mm) (R<sup>2</sup>=0.985)



Fig. 7. Shell height-Shell width Relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

# 4- Shell measurements indices: Shell Length – Shell Breadth Length Index:

The Mean shell breadth –shell length (ShB/Shl) index ranged from 38.7-60 with

grand mean 43.9. This indicates that the grand total breadth represents about 43.9 % of the total shell length of *B. pharaonis* at the investigated area. Also, Figure (8)

indicates that the growth of shell length is highly faster than that of breadth.

The linear equation that represents the relationship between shell breadth-shell

length index and shell length can be represented as:

Shell breadth-shell length index= 51.13-0.466 Shell Length (mm) (R<sup>2</sup>=0.895)



# Fig. 8. Shell Width-Shell Length index –shell length relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

# Shell Length – Shell Width Length Index (ShB/ShL):

The Mean ShB/ShL index ranged from 39.0-44.9 with grand mean 39.2. This indicates that the grand total height represents about 39.2 % of the total shell length of *B. pharaonis* at the study area. On the other hand, the growth of shell height is

much slower than that of shell length as shown in Figure (9).

The linear equation that represents the relationship between shell height-shell length index and shell length is as follows: Shell width-shell length index=

46.28-0.230 Shell Length (mm) ( $R^2=0.893$ )



# Fig. 9. Shell width-Shell Length index –shell length relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

# Shell width-Shell breadth index:

The Mean shell width –shell breadth index ranged from 90-98.3 with grand mean 93.5. This indicates that the grand total width represents about 93.5 % of the total shell breadth of *B. pharaonis* at the investigated area. Also, Figure (10) shows that there is a positive relationship between shell width-shell breadth index and growth of shell breadth i.e. the values of this index increase with the increase of shell breadth growth. The linear equation that represents the relationship between shell width-shell breadth (ShW/ShB) index and shell breadth is as follows:

Shell width-shell breadth index= 87.20-0.864 Shell breadth (mm) (R<sup>2</sup>=0.757)



# Fig. 10. Variation of Shell with-Shell breadth index with increase of shell breadth of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

With the growth of В. pharaonis, shell become more elongated and narrow, with more parallel dorso-ventral variations margins. These could be explained by shapes being driven by the maintenance principle of a physiologically favorable surface-area to volume ratio, which increases in elongated shells. The observed shapes, along with physiological acclimatization, could represent an important component of mussel adaptation to environmental stressors.

# 5- The length – total body weight relationship

Figure (11a) showed the shell length-Total body weight relationship of 491 individuals of *B. pharaonis* in the Gulf of Suez. In the present study, the value of (b) was about 2.998 which represented by the equation: TBWt = 0.1379ShL<sup>2.5391</sup> (R=0.973). This indicated that the growth in weight is negative allometric. The asymptotic weight of *B. pharaonis* was 3.23g.



Fig. 11a. Average Shell Length-Total body weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

The monthly variation in the total body weight of *B. pharaonis* with increase of shell length is shown in Figure (11b) and the values of regression constants of this relationship (a and b) and coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) are shown in Table (1).



Fig. 11b. Monthly Shell Length-Total body weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

Table (1). The values of regression constants of the shell length-Total body weight relationship (a and b) and coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) of *B. pharaonis* from niof at Suez Gulf during the period June 2019 to May 2020.

Month/Year	a	b	$\mathbf{R}^2$
June 2010	0.1460	2.5628	0.9063
July 2019	0.1512	2.4532	0.9425
August 2019	0.1510	2.4109	0.918
September 2019	0.1380	2.5352	0.9274
October 2019	0.1221	2.7091	0.9268
November 2019	0.1440	2.4366	0.9387
December 2019	0.1319	2.5505	0.9626
January 2020	0.1238	2.6892	0.9373
February 2020	0.1372	2.4688	0.8964
March 2020	0.1362	2.5101	0.9449
April 2020	0.139	2.5077	0.9308
May 2020	0.1688	2.3149	0.9294

Analysis of the monthly variations in values of the regression constant of the Shell Length-Total body weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez indicated that October

and January are the most favorable months for growth in weight of this species and the lowest values were recorded at August and May (Fig. 11c).

49



Fig. 11c. Monthly variations in values of the regression constant of the Shell Length-Total body weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

6-Shell Length - Shell Weight Relationship:

Figure (11b) showed the shell length-Total body weight relationship of 491individuals of *B. pharaonis* in the Gulf of Suez. In the present study, the value of (b) was about 2.998 which represented by the equation:  $ShWt = 0.0903ShL^{2.4723}$  (R=0.7347). This indicated that the growth in weight is negative allometric.



# Fig. 11b. Shell Length-Shell weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

#### 7-Relative coefficient of Condition (Kn):

In the present study, the values of Kn of *B. pharaonis* were recorded according to different Shell lengths (Fig. 12). It was obvious that most of the investigated samples of *B. pharaonis* have Kn values more than One which indicates the suitability of the environment for their growth.



Fig. 12. Relationship between relative coefficient of condition (Kn) values and shell length of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF Suez.

#### **II-Population dynamics:**

# 1- Species composition and population density:

The study area of the intertidal zone of the shore of NIOF is formed of sand, gravels

and large concrete blocks which are covered by marine green filamentous algae. Blue mussels (*B. pharaonis*) and skeleton of tubeworms are found with this algal bloom (Fig. 13).



Fig. 13. The study site at the intertidal zone of the shore of NIOF at Suez during low tide, With species found at this investigated site.

The average monthly population density of *B pharaonis* in the investigated site at NIOF at Suez Gulf ranged from 6.19% (205 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>) during January 2020 to 9.97% (330 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>) in October 2019, with a mean value of 275  $\pm$ 

10 individuals/ $m^2$ . The overall size-frequency distribution was asymmetric, shifted toward the predominated size (12.5-20.5 mm) (Fig. 14) which representing more than 75% of the total number of mussels sampled (Fig. 15).

51



Fig. 14 Monthly frequency % of populations of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez.



Fig. 15 Frequency % of different sizes of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez.

#### 1-Age and growth

The monthly length frequencies for all individuals from the investigated site

during the period June 2019 to May 2020 of *B. pharaonis* are presented in Figures (16 & 17).



Fig. 16. Monthly shell length-frequency distribution of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF.



Fig. 17. Shell length-frequency distribution of each month for *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

The smooth growth curve was drawn using FiSAT II program for the monthly shell length frequency distribution of *B*. *pharaonis* from the investigate site as shown in Figure (18). The result indicated that the longevity of 650 specimens ranged from 4.5 to 32.5. The mean shell length at different age groups for each month throughout the investigated period is shown in Table (2).



Fig. 18. Smooth growth curve passing through the maximum number of peaks of the repeated length frequency distribution of *B. pharaonis* from the western shore of Suez Gulf at NIOF, Suez.

Table	(2).	Estimated	mean	shell	length	for	each	age	group	at	different	months	for	<b>B</b> .
pharad	onsis	from the w	vestern	shore	of Suez	z Gu	lf at N	VIOF	, Suez.					

<u> </u>						
Age group		0	1	2	3	
	Date					
	June 2019	21.20 <u>+</u> 3.433 (n=10)	25.85 <u>+</u> 4.649 (n=17)	30.20 <u>+</u> 4.743 (n=23)	0.0	
Mean shell length (mm) <u>+</u> sd	July 2019	19.63 <u>+</u> 6.010 (n=15)	26.37 <u>+</u> .642 (n=15)	30.30 <u>+</u> 4.102 (n=15)	32.21 <u>+</u> 2.501 n=5)	
	August 2019	14.70 <u>+</u> 3.899 (n=5)	24.22 <u>+</u> 5.256 (n=18)	29.97 <u>+</u> 4.168 (n=19)	30.63 <u>+</u> 6.128(n=8)	
	September 2019	8.25 <u>+</u> 2.062 (n=4) 25.02 <u>+</u> 4.535 (n=21) 27		27.27 <u>+</u> 3.408 (n=22)	32.83 <u>+</u> 5.294(n=3)	
	October 2019	10.50 <u>+</u> 2.582 (n=13)	24.79 <u>+</u> 4.268 (n=24)	27.96 <u>+</u> 3.971 (n=13)	0.0	
	November 2019	11.61 <u>+</u> 5.754 (n=9)	26.39 <u>+</u> 3.594 (n=28)	30.12 <u>+</u> 4.464 (n=13)	0.0	
	December 2019	14.39 <u>+</u> 3.341 (n=18)	25.97 <u>+</u> 3.482 (n=15)	27.85 <u>+</u> 4.107 (n=17)	0.0	
	January 2020	7.12 <u>+</u> 7.532 (n=30)	15.40 <u>+</u> 12.700 (n=37)	16.87 <u>+</u> 13.515 (n=33)	0.0	
	February 2020	17.33 <u>+</u> 3.073 (n=18)	27.05 <u>+</u> 3.379 (n=20)	29.25 <u>+</u> 4.434 (n=12)	0.0	
	March 2020	17.38 <u>+</u> 3.294 (n=16)	28.06 <u>+</u> 3.669 (n=16)	29.89 <u>+</u> 4.804 (n=18)	0.0	
	April 2020	19.55 <u>+</u> 3.407 (n=19)	29.38 <u>+</u> 4.224 (n=8)	30.89 <u>+</u> 4.261 (n=23)	0.0	
	May 2020	21.71 <u>+</u> 47.202 (n=19)	26.77 <u>+</u> 4.264 (n=19)	31.24 <u>+</u> 4.495 (n=19)	33.50 <u>+</u> 4.202 (n=19)	

**n**= **number** of specimens

The average monthly population density of *B pharaonis* in the investigated site at NIOF at Suez Gulf ranged from 205 to 330 individual/m<sup>2</sup> during June 2019 and

October 2019, respectively, with a mean value of  $275\pm10$  individual/m<sup>2</sup>. The overall size-frequency distribution was asymmetric, shifted toward the predominated size (12.5-

## Hedaya Ragab et al.

sampled (Fig. 20).

20.5 mm) (Fig. 19) which representing more than 75% of the total number of mussels



Fig. 19 Distribution of size-frequency % of populations of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez.



# Fig. 20. Distribution of monthly size-frequency % of populations of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez.

The current study indicated the presence of three periods of recruitments of populations of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez during the investigated period from

June 2019 to May 2020. These were at July, August and December as shown in Figure (21). Their Relative % recruitment values are shown in Table (2).



Fig. (21). % of relative recruitment of population of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez, during the investigated period from June 2019 to May 2020.

Table 2. The Relative % recruitment values of population of *B. pharaonis* from NIOF, Suez, during the investigated period from June 2019 to May 2020.

<b>Relative Time</b>	% Recruitment
June 2019	17.33
July	8.80
August	15.13
September	4.95
October	2.15
November	13.23
December	13.69
January 2020	4.80
February	10.03
March	5.26
April	4.61
May	0.00

#### 2- Von Bertalanffy growth parameters:

The estimated von-Bertalanffy growth parameters (VBGP) were  $L\infty=34.13$  mm, K=1.0yr<sup>-1</sup>, t<sub>0</sub>= 0.0yr<sup>-1</sup>. The growth

performance index ( $\phi$ ) was 3.66 (for length in mm) and 3.336 (for weight in g.).

The estimated overall growth performance (OGP) For *B. pharaonis* from Suez Gulf is equal to 1.533.

#### DISCUSSION

#### 1- Morpology and Morphometry:

Branchiodontes pharaonsis (Fisher P. 1870) is one of the marine invertebrates that can exhibit a wide range of morphological variations in its natural environment as a result of its plastic response to environmental conditions such as wave exposure and tidal height (Seed, 1973), exposure to predators (Reimer and Tedengren, 1996) and density of conspecifics (Seed, 1968; Seed and Richardson, 1990). In the midlittoral rocky habitats of the Mediterranean sea it reached its maximum length at 40mm (Sirna et al., 2006), while in the present study it reached 32.5mm in the Suez Gulf.

Studying shape variability and its relation with environmental causes is

important to understand the diversity of life. and to know the great heterogeneity of forms that found in nature (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984; Thompson, 1917; Adams et al., 2004). Telesca et al., (2018) described general relationships between shell shape variations the Atlantic Mytilus species in and environmental drivers that are independent of developmental (age) and genetic (species) contributions to mussel shape. They found that morphological variation in Mytilus can represent powerful indicator а for understanding the adaptation of organisms and help to predict their responses in a rapidly changing environment. In the present study the shell shape of B. pharaonis is slightly varied with the increase in shell length or age. It is elongated and wide, with relatively large height in small mussels and became elongated with relatively small width and height. The posterior end is rounded, the anterior end is elongated, the dorsal side is slightly convex and the ventral side is slightly concave. Telesca et al. (2018) indicated that less favourable conditions affected the shell shape of the blue mussels *Mytilus edulis* and *M. trossulus* in the North Atlantic and Arctic and lead to the formation of elongated and narrow shells, with more parallel dorsoventral variations margins. These could be explained by shapes being driven by the maintenance principle of a physiologically favourable surface-area to volume ratio and Richardson, 1990) which (Seed increases in elongated shells. The observed physiological shapes. along with acclimatization could represent an important of mussel adaptation component to environmental stressors (Tomsen et al., 2013).

Although *B. pharaonis* is greatly resembles the populations of *B. variablis* yet, it was considered a monophyletic clades of *B. viriabilis* by examining the mitochondrial DNA sequences of 16S-

rDNA and cytochrome oxidase (COI) genes and its distribution is mainly in the Red and Mediterranean seas. while distribution of *B*. variablis is in Indian and Pacific Oceans (Terranova et al., 2007). Safriel and Ritte (1983) detected unique alleles of a few populations from the Red Sea and western Mediterranean Sea using Allozyme analyses. Therefore, the current values of regression constant of different body measurements can be used for inter and intera-specific variation in population of B. pharaonis in the Suez gulf.

The maximum shell length and total body weight of specimens of *B. pharaonis* from Adabia were 33.2mm and 3.25 g, respectively, while those from Ain Sokhna were 37.3 mm and 4.75g (El-Sayed *et al.*, 2016). In the current study the maximum shell length and total body weight of the same species from NIOF on Suez Gulf were 32.5mm and 1.815g. Mohammed (1992) recorded large mussels of *B. variabilis* up to 36 mm shell length in the Great Bitter Lake, Suez Canal. These results indicated that the habitats at Ain Sokhna on Suez Gulf are most favorable for the growth of these blue mussels.

The condition indices portrayed by morphometric measurements represent a developing explanatory basis for an hypothesis about biological responses or ecological scenarios different for populations. In the present investigation the values of the regression constant of different morphometric linear relationships for populations of *B. pharaonis* can be used to differentiate this species in its locality at Suez Gulf. Also, this may indicate that shell shape plasticity can represent a powerful indicator to understand the alterations of these blue mussel communities in its environment. In the present results the relations between shell length and both shell width and breadth were allometric with values of regression coefficients (b) greatly

less than one (0.359 and 0.354, respectively). Although similar allometric relationship was recorded for the two populations of the same species from Adabia and Ain Sokhna on the Suez Gulf (0.746 and 0.853 and 0.663 and 0.740, respectively. These results may indicate that the habitats and environmental conditions are favorable at Adabia and Ain Sokhna rather than at the present investigated site at NIOF, Suez Gulf.

In spite of the variations in shell features of the mussels B. pharaonis that are difficult to quantify (like umbo orientation, convexity of the ventral margin) yet the fine-scale shape patterns can be characterized without alterations of linear dimensions. Moreover, shell the development of geometric morphometrics can also provide another tool to investigate mussels shape variation (Crampton, 1995; Gardner and Thompson, 2009; Valladares et al., 2010).

In the present investigation the growth of total body weight with shell length of *B. pharaonis* was allometric. Similar results were given by El-Sayed et al. (2016) for the two populations of the same species from Adabia and Ain Sokhna on Suez Gulf with b values of the regression coefficients of this relationship were 2.112 and 2.376, respectively. In the current study the value of (b) was estimated to be 2.630. Sara et al. (2008) for the same species from Mediterranean Sea recoded that b value of the allometric relationship of Shell Length and Total body weight reached 2.7 during summer and autumn. Also, Radwan (2014) reported that b value for specimens of B. pharaonis was 2.38 from Lake Timash (Suez Canal), 2.76 from Gulf of Suez, 2.78 from Marsa Alam (Red Sea), 2.97 from Bardawil Lagoon and 2.71 from Port Said (Mediterranean Sea)

On the other hand, in the present study the relative coefficient of condition (kn) values of *B. pharaonis* in the investigated habitats on the Suez Gulf were more than One indicating the suitability of the environment for their growth. This agreed with the results of El-Sayed *et al.* (2016) for two populations of the same species from Adabia and Ain Sokhna on Suez Gulf.

57

On the other hand, b-value of the regression constant of the Shell Length-Total body weight relationship of *B. pharaonis* being slightly higher in summer at Adabia and reached the highest value during winter at Ain Sokhna on Suez Gulf (El-Sayed *et al.* (2016) which are similar to the current results for the same species where the highest values were recorded at October and January, while the lowest ones were at May and August.

# 2- Age and growth

Different methods were used to estimate age and growth of bivalves. Surface shell rings were often indistinct and therefore unreliable indicators of age, while annual growth lines in the nacreous layer of the shell, can provide an alternative method for determining the age and growth rate of Mytilus edulis (Bayne, 1976; Bayne and Worrall, 1980; Lutz and Castagna, 1980). Seed and Richardson (1990) studied sections of individual Mytilus edulis shells grown in plastic mesh cages in the North Sea and sampled throughout the year. The results indicated the presence of tidal microgrowth patterns in the outer prismatic layer which also revealed clearly marked annual patterns of deposition. The identification of these patterns, which result from the narrowing of the tidal growth bands during the colder winter months, has permitted the past growth history of individual mussels to be determined. The authors estimated the age of *M. edulis* to reach to 11 years old. In the current mussel B. pharaonis the tidally induced banding pattern is not apparent, however patterns of weak growth bands resulting from an endogenous rhythm of shell deposition with no apparent environmental periodicity have been observed in its prismatic layer.

Size frequency distributions for some species of bivalves are distinctly polymodal with each mode representing an individual year class. By estimating the mean size of these modes population growth rate can be assessed. Analyses of size frequency distributions have previously been used to estimate growth rates of M. edulis (Theisen 1968, Bayne and Worrall 1980, Rodhouse et al. 1984). In the present study the length frequency distribution of B. pharaonis had been applied to estimate its age to be 3 years. However, Elsayed et al. (2016) using the length frequency distribution for two populations of *B. pharaonis* from Adabia and Ain Sokhna at Suez Gulf recorded 5 size classes.

In the study of Seed and Richardson (1990) some individuals of *M. edulis* attained shell lengths of 70 mm in their first few years of growth, others within the same population attained shell lengths of 25 to 30 mm during the same period. Such reductions growth rate may reflect intense in intraspecific competition. In the current study there were monthly variations in the shell length of B. pharaonis where the maximum shell length varied between 20.5mm to 32.5 mm. In populations containing several age classes like that recorded in the current study (3 age classes) large numbers of small mussels may become ensnared amongst the abyssal threads of larger individuals and are consequently at a severe disadvantage in their competition for food (Seed 1968, Kautsky, 1982). The maximum shell length for the same species varied in different localities. It was 36 mm at Sicily (Mediterranean Sea) (Sara *et al.* (2008), 40 mm at Indo-Pacific regions (Zenetos, *et al.*, 2005), 40 mm, 30 mm at Suez Gulf, Suez Canal, Bardwil, and Marsa Alam (Radwan, 2014), 20 mm at Hong Kong (Morton, 1988), 22 mm at India (Rajagopal *et al.*, 2003), 18 mm at Gulf of Aqaba and Suez Gulf at Suez (Mohammed, 1992, 1997).

Also, growth of B. pharaonis can be modulated by several interacting factors including water temperature and depth, food availability and the degree of aerial exposure (Seed & Richardson, 1990). In the current investigation B. pharaonis was found to have a great ability to live in its habitat in the Gulf of Suez, Red Sea with high salinity, abundant of food and wave exposure and sedimentation are optimal. This agreed with the results of Safriel et al. (1980); Sara` et al. (2000); Terranova et al. (2006). On the other hand, shell changes in B. pharaonis can reflect its responses to environmental conditions at Suez Gulf and the level of its shape variation can be used as an indicator of habitat change selecting for specific traits. Similar conclusion was given for by Fitzer et al. (2015) where they found that ocean temperature increase acidification and impact mussel shell shape and thickness. Therefore, multi-population studies across broad geographical areas on the Red Sea are essential to investigate responses of B. populations to different pharaonis environmental driver forces. Similar conclusion was given by Kroeker et al. (2016, 2017) for populations of Mytilus.

Sukhotin *et al.* (2007) studied the growth of mussel *Mytilus edulis* in 24 wild populations and one cultured population located in the White Sea and the southeast part of the Barents Sea. They found that the maximal longevity and the size of this mussel varied between 7 and 18 years and

25.5 and 77.7 mm, respectively. Its von Bertalanffy growth parameters,  $L_{\infty}$ , and k were 119.9mm, 0.044y<sup>-1</sup>, and 27.7mm and 0.263y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, in the current work the maximum age and size for B. pharaonis from Suez Gulf was 3 years and 32,5mm, respectively. The values of  $L_{\infty}$ , k were 34.13mm and 1.0 y<sup>-1</sup>. The growth performance was 3.66 for shell length and 3.33 for total body weight, while its overall growth performance index (OGP) was 1.533. The difference in longevity and maximum shell length can be related to the type of species and the geographical location of the population within the studied region. Sukhotin et al. (2007) concluded that the growth of M. edulis was mostly determined by local environmental factors, including those related to vertical zonation, rather than by latitude/longitude and related temperature effects.

In the present work the average monthly population density of *B* pharaonis in the investigated site at NIOF at Suez Gulf varied from 205 to 330 individual/m<sup>2</sup> during June 2019 and October 2019, respectively, with a mean value of  $275\pm10$  individual/m<sup>2</sup>. The overall size-frequency distribution was asymmetric, shifted toward the predominated size (12.5-20.5 mm) which representing more than 75% of the total number of mussels sampled. Mohammed (1992) counted population density of B. variabilis within the bed in the Great Bitter Lake was (1182.1±75.2/m') and it varied according to the type of substrate where it reached 900 on the hard substrate and about 2200 on the soft one.

The results of the current study will help in differentiation between inter and intra-specific variation of similar and different population species of *B. pharaonis* in the Red Sea as well as in other worldwide localities.

# REFERENCES

- Arcidiacono, A. and Di Geronimo, I. (1976). Studio biometrico di alcuni campioni di *Brachidontes variabilis* (Krauss). Conchiglie 12:61–74.
- Barash, A. and Danin, Z. (1986). Further additions to the knowledge of Indo-Pacific Mollusca in the Mediterranean Sea. Spixiana, 9:117–141.
- Bayne, B.L. (1976). Marine mussels, their ecology and physiology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bayne, B.L. and Worrall, C.M. (1980). Growth and production of mussels *Mytilus edulis* from two populations. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
- Bergstrom, P. and Lindegarth, M. (2016). Environmental influence on mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) growth – A quantile regression approach. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 171:123–132.
- Caill-Milly, N.; Bru, N.; Mahé, K.; Borie, C. and D'Amico, F. (2012). Shell shape analysis and spatial allometry patterns of Manila clam (*Ruditapes philippinarum*) in a mesotidal coastal lagoon. J. Mar. Biol., 2012, 1–11.
- Crampton, J.S. (1995). Elliptic Fourier shape analysis of fossil bivalves: some practical considerations. Lethaia, 28:179–186.
- El-Deeb, R.S.; Abdel Razek.; Omar, H.A.; Khafage, A.R. and Abdul-Aziz, K.K. (2018). The gametogenic cycle and spawning of the mussel *Brachidontes pharaonis* (Fischer, 1876) (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) from Alexandria Coast, Egypt. Egypt. J. Aquat. Res., 44:353-359.
- El-Sayed, A.A.; Ibrahim, N.E.O; Nassef, A.M.; El-Hammady, M.A. and El-Mekawy, H.A. (2016). Biometric Relationships for the Bivalve Mussel, *Brachidontes pharaonis* Populations

from the North-Western Coast of Suez Gulf, Egypt. Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci., 8(1): 61-73.

- Fisher P (1870). Sur la Faune conchyliologique marine des baies de Suez et de l'Akabah. Journal de Conchyliologie 13:178–179
- Fitzer, S.C. *et al.* (2015). Ocean acidification and temperature increase impact mussel shell shape and thickness: problematic for protection? Ecol. Evol., 5: 4875–4884.
- Ford, E. (1933): An account of the herring investigation conducted at Plymouth during the years from 1924-1933. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 19: 305-384.
- Gardner, J. P. A. and Thompson, R. J. (2009). Influence of genotype and geography on shell shape and morphometric trait variation among North Atlantic blue mussel (*Mytilus* spp.) populations. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 96:875–897.
- Gilboa, A. (1976). Experiments in mytilids recolonization. MS. Dissertation, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel.
- Gruvel A. and Moazzo G. (1931).
  Contribution à la faune malacologique marine des côtes Libano-Syriennes.
  Pp. 437-456. In: Gruvel A. (ed.), Les états de Syrie. Richesses marines et fluviales. Société des Editions Géographiques, Maritimes et Coloniales, Paris.
- Gulland, J.A. and Holt, S.J. (1959). Estimation of growth parameters for data at unequal time intervals. J. Cons. CIEM, 25(1):47-49.
- Kautsky, N.; Johannesson, K. and Tedengren, M. (1990). Genotypic and phenotypic diferences between Baltic and North Sea populations of Mytilus edulis evaluated through reciprocal transplantations. Growth and

morphology. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 59:203–210.

- Kinzelbach R. (1985). Lesseps'sche Wanderung: neue stationen von Muscheln (Bivalvia: Anisomyaria). Archiv fur Molluskenkunde, 115(4-6): 273-278.
- Kroeker, K.J. *et al.* (2016). Interacting environmental mosaics drive geographic variation in mussel performance and predation vulnerability. Ecol. Lett., 19: 771–779.
- Kroeker, K.J.; Kordas, R.L. and Harley, C.D.G. (2017). Embracing interactions in ocean acidification research: confronting multiple stressor scenarios and context dependence. Biol. Lett., 13: 20160802.
- Kroeker, K. J.; Micheli, F. and Gambi, M.C. (2012). Ocean acidification causes ecosystem shifts via altered competitive interactions. Nat. Clim. Change, 3:156–159.
- Kroeker, K.J.; Micheli, F.; Gambi, M.C. and Martz, T.R. (2011). Divergent ecosystem responses within a benthic marine community to ocean acidification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108: 14515–14520.
- Le Cren, E.D. (1951): The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). J. Anim. Ecol., 20(2): 201-219.
- Lutz, R.A. and Castagna, M. (1980). Age composition and growth rate of a mussel (*Gurken siademissa*) population in a Virginia salt marsh. J. Mollusc. Stud., 46: 106-115.
- Mohammed, S. Z. (1992). The interaction between adults and recruitments in the *Brachidontes variabilis* L. (Lamellibranchiata) bed in the Bitter Great Lake, Suez Canal. Quaternary Univ. Sci. J., 12: 228–232.

- Mohammed, S.Z. (1997): Influence of age structure of *Brachidontes variabilis* on the community structure of its associated fauna in the Greater Bitter Lake, Suez Canal. J. Egypt. German Zoology, 24: 51–67.
- Morton, B. (1988): The population dynamics and reproductive cycle of *Brachidontes variabilis* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) in Hong Kong Mangrove. Malacological Review, 21:109–117.
- Pauly, D. (1979). Gill size and temperature as governing factors in fish growth: a generalization of von Bertalanffy's growth formula. Berichte des Instituts für Meereskunde an der Univ. Kiel. No. 63, xv + 156 p.
- Pauly, D. (1980). On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters and mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. J. Cons. CIEM, 39(3):175-192.
- Pauly, D. (1984a). Fish population dynamics in tropical waters: a manual for use with programmable calculators. ICLARM Stud.Rev. (8):325p.
- Pauly, D. (1984b). Length-converted catch curves: a powerful tool for fisheries research in the tropics (Part II). ICLARM Fishbyte, 2(1): 17-19.
- Pauly, D. and Munro, J.L. (1984). Once more on the comparison of growth in fish and invertebrates. Fishbyte. 2(1):21.
- Por, F. D. (1978). Lessepsian migration the influx of Red Sea Biota into the Mediterranean by way of the Suez Canal. Ecological studies 23. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- Radwan, N.A.F. (2014). Biological and molecular studies on selected molluscs collected from the Egyptian coastal water. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of

Science, Suez Canal University, Egypt.194pp.

- Rajagopal, S.; Venugopalan, V.P.; Van der Velde, G.; and Jenner, H.A. (2003). Tolerance of five species of tropical marine mussels to continuous chlorination. Mar. Environ. Res., 55: 277-291Sara *et al.* (2008)
- Rilov, G.; Gasith, A. and Benayahu, Y. (2002). Effect of an exotic prey on the feeding pattern of a predatory snail. Mar. Environ. Res., 54:85–98
- Safriel, U.N. and Ritte, U. (1983). Universal correlates of colonizing ability. In: Swingland IR, Greenwood PJ (eds) The ecology of animal movement. Clarendon, Oxford, pp 215–239
- Safriel, U.N.; T. Felsenburg and A. Gilboa (1980). The distribution of *Brachidontes variabilis* (Krauss) along the Red Sea coasts of Sinai. Argamon, 7(3): 31-43.
- Sara, G.; Romano, C. and Mazzola, A. (2008): A new Lessepsian species in the western Mediterranean Bivalvia: (Brachidontes pharaonis Mytilidae): density. resource allocation and biomass. Marine Biodiversity Records, 1-8.
- Sara, G.; Romano, C.; Caruso, M. and Mazzola. A. (2000).The new Lessepsian entry **Brachidontes** pharaonis (Fischer, 1870) (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) in the western Biometric relationships for the bivalve mussel, B. populations pharaonis 73 Mediterranean: physiological а under varying natural analysis conditions. J. Shellfish Res., 19: 967-977.
- Seed, R. (1968). Factors influencing shell shape in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 48, 561–584 (1968).

- Seed, R. (1973). Absolute and allometric growth in the mussel, Mytilus edulis L. (Mollusca Bivalvia). Proc. Malacol. Soc. Lond. 40, 343–357.
- Seed, R. and Richardson, C. A. (1990). Mytilus growth and its environmental responsiveness. In The Neurobiology of Mytilus edulis (ed. Stefano, G. B.) 1–37 (Manchester University Press, 1990).
- Stern, S. and Achituv, Y. (1977). Effects of temperature and salinity on the metabolism and byssal formation of *Brachidontes variabilis* Krauss (Bivalvia). Comp. Bioch. Phys., 59A:101–105
- Sukhotin, A.; Krasnov, Yu, V. and Galaktionov, K.V. (2008). Subtidal populations of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* as key determinants of waterfowl flocks in the southeastern Barents Sea Polar Biol., 31:1357– 1363. DOI: 10.1007/s00300-008-0474-4
- Telesca, L.; Michalek, K.; Sanders, T.; Peck, L.S.; Thyrring, J. and Harper, E.M. (2018). Blue mussel shell shape plasticity and natural environments: a quantitative approach. Scientific RePorTS, 8:2865. DOI:10.1038/s 41598-018-20122-9
- Terranova, M.S.; Lo Brutto, S.; Arculeo, M. and Mitton, J.B. (2006). Population structure of Brachidontes pharaonis (P. Fisher, 1870) (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) in the Mediterranean Sea. and evolution of novel а **mtDNA** polymorphism. Mar. Biol., 150:89-101. DOI 10.1007/s00227- 006-0330-4

- Terranova, M.S.; Lo Brutto, S.; Arculeo, M. and Mitton. J.B. (2007). А mitochondrial phylogeography of **Brachidontes** variabilis (Bivalvia: Mytilidae) reveals three cryptic species. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 45(4), 289-298. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0469.2007.00421. xled
- Theisen, B.T. (1968). Growth and mortality of culture mussels in the Danish Wadden Sea. Medd Danm. Fisk.- og Havun- ders. 6: 47-7
- Tomsen, J.; Casties, I.; Pansch, C.; Körtzinger, A. and Melzner, F. (2013). Food availability outweighs ocean acidifcation efects in juvenile *Mytilus edulis*: laboratory and field experiments. Glob. Change Biol., 19:1017–1027.
- Valladares, A.; Manrnquez, G. and Sugrez-Isla, B. (2010). Shell shape variation in populations of *Mytilus chilensis* (Hupé 1854) from southern Chile: a geometric morphometric approach. Mar. Biol. 157, 2731–2738.
- Von Bertalanffy L (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth (inquiries on growth laws. II). Human biology, 10:181-213
- Walford, L.A. (1946). A new graphic method on describing the growth of animals. Biol. Bull., 90: 141-147.
- Zenetos, A.; Cinar, M. E.; Pancucci-Papadopoulou, M. A.; Harmelin, J. G.;
  Furnari, G., Andaloro, F.; Bellou, N.,
  Streftaris N. and Zibrowius, H. (2005).
  Annotated list of marine alien species in the Mediterranean with records of the worst invasive species. Mar. Sci., 6(2): 63-118.

الشكل والقياس وديناميكية العشائر لبلح البحر Brachidontes pharaonis من المنطقة الغربية لخليج السويس

هداية رجب ١، هالة طنطاوي ١، خالد محمد المصيلحي٢ ، وحيد محمد إمام ١\* ٣- قسم علم الحيوان كلية العلوم جامعة شمس ٢- المعهد القومي لعلوم المحيطات و المصايد (NIOF) بالسويس \*البريد الالكتروني للباحث الرئيسي: waheedemam@sci.asu.edu.eg waheedemam@sci.asu.edu.eg

المستخلص

تمت دراسة الشكل والقياس وديناميكية العشائر لبلح البحر Brachidontes pharaonis من الشاطئ الصخري للمنطقة الغربية لخليج السويس بالمعهد القومي لعلوم المحيطات والمصايد بالسويس. تم وصف منطقة الدراسة وكذلك تكوين الأنواع. تم وصف شكل القشرة الخارجية والداخلية للحيوان كما تم حساب الثوابت المقدرة A و B للعلاقات المورفومترية. والأنواع. تم وصف شكل القشرة الخارجية والداخلية للحيوان كما تم حساب الثوابت المقدرة الع و B للعلاقات المورفومترية. تراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٤.٥ إلى ٢٠٠٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ١٠٠٠ إلى متراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٤.٥ إلى ٢٠٠٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ٢٠٠٠ إلى تراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٢٠٤ إلى ٢٠٠٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ٢٠٠٠ إلى متراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٢٠٤ إلى ٢٠٠٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ٢٠٠٠ إلى تراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٢٠٥ إلى ٢٠٠٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ٢٠٠٠ إلى متراوح طول الصدفة لهذا الحيوان بين ٢٠١٥ إلى ٢٠١٥ ملم بمتوسط ١٣٠ ملم ووزن الجسم الإجمالي المقابل ٢٠٠٠ إلى مادع ما معنوبي المعنوبي المعنوبي المعنوبي المولي التكراري للعينات الشهرية من يوليو ٢٠١٩ للى مايو ٢٠٠٠ إلى مايو (٢٠٠ جرام بمتوسط ٢٩٤) عينة من هذا النوع باستخدام طريقة توزيع الطول والتكرار. كانت معلمات نمو ( $\phi$ ) ٢٠٠٠ إلى مايو (عمر عمر ونمو ٢٧٤) عينة من هذا النوع باستخدام طريقة توزيع الطول والتكرار. كانت معلمات نمو ( $\phi$ ) ٢٠٠٠ (2.53) ما ما موليول والتكرار. على مؤسر أداء النمو ( $\phi$ ) ٢٠٠٠ (عدى عليه أداء النمو الي المول والوزن إلى نمو سلبي في القياس (عام 19).